Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. § 1,111

September 4, 2007

<u>REMARKS</u>

The present application includes pending claims 1-14 and 36-49, all of which have been rejected. By this Amendment, claims 1 and 36 have been amended.

Claims 1, 4-5, 7, 9, 12, 14, 36, 39-40, 42, 44, 47 and 49 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. 7,237,029 ("Hino"). Claims 2-3, 8, 37-38 and 43 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hino in view of U.S. 2004/0003051 ("Krz"). Claims 24 and 40 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hino in view of U.S. 6,363,434 ("Eytchison"). Claims 10 and 45 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hino in view of U.S. 6,580,149 ("Park"). Claims 13 and 48 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hino in view of U.S. 6,510,212 ("Ito"). Claims 11 and 46 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hino in view of U.S. 6,665,384 ("Daum"). The Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections for at least the following reasons:

I. Hino Does Not Anticipate Claims 1, 4-5, 7, 9, 12, 14, 36, 39-40, 42, 44, 47 And 49

The Applicants first turn to the rejection of claims 1, 4-5, 7, 9, 12, 14, 36, 39-40, 42, 44, 47 And 49 as being anticipated by Hino. Hino "relates to a remote control system for controlling home appliances from outside the home and a gateway apparatus used for the remote control system, and in particular, to the system and apparatus capable of controlling the home appliances from outside the home with easier operation." Hino at column 1, lines 7-12. The gateway apparatus "includes means for acquiring appliance panel information indicating panel parts of the appliance and an operational range of the panel parts, and means for memorizing gateway apparatus information indicating whether a control command input to the appliance through a network built outside the home." *Id.* at Abstract. Additionally, the gateway apparatus "further includes means for determining whether or not it is possible to accept the input by making reference to the gateway apparatus information when the control command input is received through the outside network and for producing a control command to the appliance based on the appliance panel information when the acceptance is

Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. § 1,111

September 4, 2007

possible." *Id.* at Abstract. In general, Hino discloses a system that "makes it possible to perform remote control in a similar feeling obtained in operating a front panel of an actual home appliance." *Id.* at Abstract. That is, an operator may control a home appliance remotely.

Claim 1 has been amended to recite the following: "automatically establishing a communication link between the first system and the at least one media peripheral; ... automatically determining authorization of the performance of the selected operation...." Independent claim 36 has been amended in a similar fashion.

The Office Action cites Hino at column 8, lines 12-14 and 22-25 as disclosing "establishing a communication link between the first system and the at least one media peripheral." See July 25, 2007 Office Action at page 3. This portion of Hino, however, states the following:

When receiving **an input** of the control command toward the specified home appliance from the control device 60 or the outside network 50 (step 4), the appliance control command producing means 11 in the GW apparatus 10 determines if or not the control command is possible to be accepted on the basis of the GW apparatus information memorized by the GW apparatus information memorizing means 13.

In the case that the acceptance is impossible, the producing means rejects the control command (step 8). On the other hand, if the acceptance is possible, the appliance control command producing means 11 produces a control command (step 6), and the command outputting means 14 outputs the produced control command (step 7).

Hino at column 8, lines 12-25. There is nothing in this passage of Hino, however, that describes, teaches or suggests "automatically establishing a communication link between a first system and at least one media peripheral." Instead, this passage discloses that after a control command is input by a user, the GW apparatus determines whether that control command is acceptable based on stored apparatus information. If the acceptance is impossible, the control command is rejected. If possible, however, the control command is executed.

Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. § 1,111

September 4, 2007

Next, the Office Action cites Hino at column 19, lines 4-10 and 21-22 as disclosing "determining authorization of the performance of the selected operation." See July 25, 2007 Office Action at page 3. This portion of Hino, however, states the following:

Further, the information about terminal identification data includes the number of a cellular phone or the ID of a terminal. The user identification information can be set by making use of authentication information at a terminal with an authentication function or information that proves authentication on authentication service.

* * *

In short, any information can be used in the GW apparatus, as long as the information serves as data to identify inputted information in determining whether or not it is possible to produce a command.

Hino at column 19, lines 4-10 and 19-22 (emphasis added). This passage of Hino does not describe, teach or suggest "automatically determining authorization of the performance of the selected operation." Instead, this passage merely discloses that user identification information can be set by actively selecting authentication information at a terminal (as opposed to automatically determining).

For at least the reasons discussed above, the Applicants respectfully submit that a *prima facie* case of anticipation is not established with respect to claims 1 and 36, as amended, or the claims that depend therefrom. Thus, the Applicants request reconsideration of these claim rejections.

II. The Proposed Combinations Of References Do Not Render Claims 2-3, 8, 10, 11, 13, 24, 37-38, 40, 43, 45, 46 and 48 Unpatentable

The Applicants respectfully submit that the proposed combination of Hino and Krz does not render claims 2-3, 8, 37-38 and 43 unpatentable for at least the reasons discussed above. The proposed combination of Hino and Eytchison does not render claims 24 and 40 unpatentable for at least the reasons discussed above. The proposed combination of Hino and Park does not render claims 10 and 45 unpatentable for at least the reasons discussed above. The proposed combination of Hino and Ito does not render claims 13 and 48 unpatentable for at least the reasons discussed above. Finally,

Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. § 1,111

September 4, 2007

the proposed combination of Hino and Daum does not render claims 11 and 46 unpatentable for at least the reasons discussed above.

III. Conclusion

In general, the Office Action makes various statements regarding the pending claims and the cited references that are now moot in light of the above. Thus, the Applicants will not address such statements at the present time. The Applicants expressly reserve the right, however, to challenge such statements in the future should the need arise (e.g., if such statements should become relevant by appearing in a rejection of any current or future claim).

The Applicants respectfully submit that a prima facie case of anticipation and/or obviousness has not been established with respect to any of the pending claims for at least the reasons discussed above and request reconsideration of the claim rejections. If the Examiner has any questions or the Applicants can be of any assistance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney for Applicants.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any necessary fees, or credit any overpayment to the Deposit Account of McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Account No. 13-0017.

Respectfully submitted,

/Joseph M. Butscher/ Joseph M. Butscher Registration No. 48,326

Attorney for Applicants

Date: September 4, 2007

McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd. 500 West Madison Street, 34th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60661

Telephone: (312) 775-8000

Facsimile:

(312)775-8100